FISCAL NOTE WORKSHEET (Revised Nov. 2006)

| Agency: Utah State Office of Education | Bill Number SB 133 | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|--------------|--|
| Ben Leischman | | | |
| Requested By | | | |
| | Fax/Electronic Mail Transmittal | | |
| Office of the Legislative Fiscal Analyst | Date: | Date: | |
| W310 State Capitol Complex | | | |
| Salt Lake City, UT 84114-5310 | Name: | | |
| 538-1034 / Fax 538-1692 | - · · | | |
| Please return to Fiscal Analyst by: January 18, 2007 | Fax Number: | | |
| TITLE OF BILL: LOCAL SCHOOL BOARD PUBLIC | HEARING REQUIREMENTS | | |
| This Bill Takes Effect: On Passage On J | Tuly 1 X 60 Days after session Oth | her | |
| Bill Carries Own Appropriation: | | | |
| FISCAL IMPACT OF PR | ROPOSED LEGISLATION | | |
| A. Revenue Impact by Source of Funds: | | cond Year | |
| 1. General Fund | \$0 | \$0 | |
| 2. Uniform School Fund - Free Revenue | \$0 | \$0 | |
| 3. Transportation Fund | | | |
| 4. Collections | | | |
| 5. Other Funds (List Below) | | | |
| | | | |
| C L and Free da | - | | |
| 6 Local Funds | ΦΩ. | | |
| 7. TOTAL | \$0 | \$0 | |
| B. Expenditure Impact by Source of Funds: | | | |
| 1. General Funds | | | |
| 2. Uniform School Fund - Free Revenue | | | |
| 3. Transportation Fund | | | |
| 4. Collections | | | |
| 5. Other Funds (List Below) | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| 6 Local Funds | \$0 | \$0 | |
| 7. TOTAL | \$0 | \$0 | |
| C. Expenditure Impact Summary: | | | |
| 1. Salaries, Wages and Benefits | | | |
| 2. Travel | | | |
| 3. Current Expenses | | | |
| 4. Capital Outlay | | | |
| 5. Other (Specify) Advertising Costs | \$0 | \$0 | |
| 6. TOTAL | \$0 | \$0 | |
| D. Impact in Future Years? | | | |
| If no fiscal impact in first two years, indicate if there will be a | nny impact in future years, and explain Also | indicate any | |
| significant changes in fiscal impact beyond the first two years | | indicate any | |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| W. H. J. A. P. O. P | 700 5550 | /10/07 | |
| Von Hortin, Audit & Finance Specialist USOE, Finance & S | Statistics 538-7670 01/ | /18/07 | |

USOE

Phone No.

Date

Agency

Prepared By

Title

Bill Title: Local School Board Public Hearing Requirements

E. Identify Sections of the Bill That Will Generate the Additional Workload or Cost Increase

Lines 159 through 169 adds timelines and advertising requirements for public hearings and line 180 changes the number of days advertisements must run before the annual budget hearing. These costs can not be determined at this time because we do not have knowledge of specific boundary changes, or school closure plans. The budget hearings were already occurring only the time line has changed.

F. Expenditure Impact Details (*Ties to totals in Section C*)

SB 133

Bill Number:

List and document methodology and/or assumptions used in determining need for workload and cost increase.

List number, type, and step ranges of personnel required, including benefits.

List details of other impacted expenditure categories as shown in Section C.

List additional space requirements and cost associated with requirements of this bill.

(USE ATTACHMENTS IF NECESSARY.) The annual cost of the budget notices vary by location and paper. The cost along the Wasatch front is about \$100 per notice. In the more rural areas it averages about \$350 per notice. Currently it runs about \$11,500 for the annual budget notices. This will not change because of this bill but the timing will change. If every school district were to change a boundary or close a school it could cost additional funds but at this time we have no knowledge of district plans in this direction. It would seem appropriate that Charter Schools should also make public notices of changes in their operation equivalent to the requirements for school district and possibly if they are changing grade configurations.

G. No Fiscal Impact or Will Not Require Additional Appropriations?

Specify why this bill will have no fiscal impact on your agency or institution.

Specify how you will reallocate workloads, resources, or funding sources to eliminate need for additional appropriations. (USE ATTACHMENTS IF NECESSARY.) We have not listed a cost because we have no knowledge of impending changes which would require these notices beyond the annual budget requirements which are already occurring.

H. If Bill Carries It's Own Appropriation:

Indicate if the amount appropriated is adequate to meet the purposes of the bill.

Are there future additional costs anticipated beyond the appropriation in the bill?

The bill carries no appropriation.

I. Impact on Local Governments, Businesses, Associations, and Individuals

Specify requirements in the bill that drive the impact on local governments.

Indicate costs or savings that are **DIRECT and MEASURABLE**. If direct and measurable data are not available, are there areas that potentially could have a fiscal impact? (USE ATTACHMENT IF NECESSARY.)

<u>Local School Districts/Charter Schools</u>: This would cause districts to advertise notices of meetings. Generally this is already occurring. It may give added emphasis to public involvement in these important decisions. Charter Schools are not mentioned in the bill but it is important to have the requirements be the same. Also Charter Schools might need to hold public hearings if they are going to change their grade configuration.

Businesses and Associations:

<u>Individuals</u>: This bill would require what is already common practice in giving notice of important pending decisions prior to the meetings involving patrons children.

<u>Narrative Description of Bill</u>: This bill would put into law common practice of notifying the public about school closure decisions and boundary adjustments by requiring public notices and advertisements. It changes the length of time for advertising prior to budget hearings.